Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,619	TJEERDSMA, WAYNE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Korie H. Chan	3632
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Korie H. Chan.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey Proehl</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  Baskas		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
MORIE CHAN PRIMEN EXAMINER		
PRIMEY EXAMINER		
<u> </u>	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed that the newly discovered reference Baskas reads on claim 1 and as such proposed to add the limitation of claim 10 into claim 1 and thereby cancelling claim 10 to put the case in condition for allowance. Additionally, since the second jaw assembly is pivotally coupled to the mounting assembly and not the first jaw assembly as recited on line 11, claim 1, the first jaw assembly should be corrected to mounting assembly. After reviewing the Baskas reference, Mr. Proehl agreed to all the changes...